NOAA California B-WET Program Evaluation Website Document

Tool: Evaluation Assessment (Reviewers' Rubric)

Use this rubric to assess the evaluation section of your California Bay Watershed Education and Training Program (B-WET) proposal. This rubric is based on the five questions in the evaluation section assessment from the California B-WET RFP and is the one that reviewers will use to score the evaluation section of your grant. To use the rubric, read through your proposal's evaluation section, then read each question below and the directions for scoring on a scale from 3 to 0 and for tallying your score. A top score is 10 points.

Evaluation Questions & Scoring Criteria	Score (enter a # from 3 to 0 for each question)
Does the project evaluation description meet the guidelines offered in Section IV.B.2.a(6)?	
 Score 3 The evaluation description includes all of the following: 1) it focuses on measuring changes in participants (changes can be in knowledge, attitudes, skills or conservation actions); 2) methods for gathering evaluation data are systematic and if replicated would gather reliable qualitative and/or quantitative data; 3) results could be used to inform programming decisions (either planning the program, making changes to improve the program or judging the program's impact and value). 2 The evaluation description includes two of the following: 1) it focuses on measuring changes in participants; 2) methods for gathering data are systematic and if replicated would gather reliable data; or 3) results could be used to inform programming decisions. 1 The evaluation description includes one of the following: 1) it focuses on measuring changes in participants; 2) methods for gathering data are systematic and if replicated would gather reliable data; or 3) results could be used to inform programming decisions. 0 There is no measurement of outcomes (changes in participants), the methods aren't systematic and reliable, and the results won't be useful for informing programming. 	
Will the evaluation measure outcomes that correlate to the project's goals and objectives and/or to the B-WET program's definition of meaningful watershed experiences?	
Score 3 The changes to be measured in participants (outcomes) match the project goals and objectives, which include engaging participants in outdoor experiences in the Sanctuary's watershed(s) and attempting to make positive progress in participants' development of environmental stewardship.	
The outcomes match the project's goals and objectives, which include one of the following: engaging participants in outdoor experiences in the Sanctuary's watershed(s) or attempting to make positive progress in participants' development of environmental stewardship.	
 The outcomes to be measured match the project's goals and objectives. The outcomes to be measured don't match the project's goals or objectives. 	

9/5/06 page 1 of 2

Evaluation Questions & Scori	ng Criteria	Score (enter a # from 3 to 0 for each
	question)	
Are the indicators of outcomes chosen appropriate (Note: An indicator is the thing that's used to visibly she example, if a project is designed to change knowledge, twould be the indicator for that outcome.) Score	ow or point to an outcome. For	,
3 Indicators are clearly defined for each outco appropriate (good at indicating) for each ouresources available.		
2 Indicators meet two of these characteristics: outcome to be measured, are appropriate (g outcome, or doable given the resources avai	ood at indicating) for each	
1 Indicators meet one of these characteristics: outcome to be measured, appropriate (good outcome, or doable given the resources avail	clearly defined for each at indicating) for each	
0 There are no indicators for this project or the outcomes.	ey are do not match	
Are the data-gathering instruments appropriate for	r the audience(s) and the	
outcomes to be measured?		
Score		
3 The proposed data-gathering instruments (s etc.) are clearly identified, appropriate for the participants, and appropriate for the outcon (i.e., tests for knowledge, demonstration of s attitude scales for attitudes, etc.).	ne target audience/ nes to be measured	
2 The proposed data-gathering instruments m characteristics: 1) are clearly identified, 2) a audience/participants, or 3) are appropriate measured.	re appropriate for the target	
1 The proposed data-gathering instruments as characteristics: 1) are clearly identified, 2) a audience/participants, or 3) are appropriate measured.	re appropriate for the target	
0 There are no data-gathering instruments ide		
Will the documentation of evaluation results as de		
assessments of the project's effectiveness, impact a	nd/or value?	
Score		
3 The documentation of results is clearly iden project, and will be complete enough to guid		
2 The documentation of results meets two of t clearly identified, is appropriate for this pro enough to guide assessment of the project.	hese characteristics: is	
1 The documentation of results meets one of t clearly identified, is appropriate for this pro enough to guide assessment of the project.		
0 The documentation of results is not included		
(add all the nun	Total ubers in the column to the right) Total Score	
(divide Total by 1.5 to determine the total points fo		

9/5/06 page 2 of 2